

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/035,990		12/31/2001	Herbert M. Reynolds	1335.00008	4532	
27305	7590	08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.				RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151			2856			

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_					
	10/035,990	REYNOLDS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_					
	Robert R. Raevis	2856						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Ju</u>	<u>uly 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) <u>40-50</u> is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,11-16,32-39 and 51-65</u> is/are rejected.								
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5,10 and 17-31</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,_ ,_ ,	carriller. Note the attached Office	E Action of form F 10-132.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	red in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	oate Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: <u>ATTACHME</u>							

Claims 1-3,6-8,11-16,32-39,51-60,62-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaptur, Jr. et al.

Kaptur, Jr. teaches a design template is used to check a seat within a vehicle, the template including a torso section 108 representing a torso of an average size (see col. 2, line 4), and inherently employing a posture (see Figure 1) and a waist (the waist viewable in Figure 6 adjacent the "108", and indirectly referred to in the teaching that the rear outer surface 110 of the pan 108 confirms to the contour of the outer surface of the back of a predetermined human male, on col. 3, lines 33-39). The template including at least one cross-sectional section of the torso cooperating with said torso section torso at the waist, the at least one cross-sectional section representing a cross-sectional of the torso and being generally at a right angle to the torso section and describing a body seat interface at the at least one anatomical landmark, the landmark being located on the body seat interface, the body seat interface described by the torso section and the at least one cross-sectional section being three dimensional.

As to claims 1-3,8,11,15,51-60, the "waist" may be deemed to be an anatomical landmark, as it defines the part of the human torso between the bottom of the rib cage and the pelvis.

As to claims 6,7, note col. 4, lines 56-58, 66-75.

As to claims 11-14, Kaptur's reference to "accommodation checking" (col. 1, line 10) and "seat locations" (col. 1, line 35) are inclusive of all known seat positions, including all the way back.

Art Unit: 2856

As to claim 15,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,62-65, determination of whether a seat is "satisfactory" (col. 1, line 14) provides for a step in designing a seat, if not the seat itself. Also, regions (patches) of the seat are for support portion s of the template.

As to claim 16, note the curved potion of pan 108 in Figure 1.

Claims 9 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaptur et al in view of Ekern et al.

Comments that exist above similarly apply here.

As to claims 9 and 61, it would have been obvious to employ an occupant restraint system upon Kapur's template because Ekern teaches (p. 125, left column, first paragraph of the "INTRODUCTION") that "restraint positioning" is a factor in accurately locating seated occupants in a vehicle, suggestive of application of a restraint system in Kaptur to assure that the template if properly positioned.

Claims 4,5,10,17-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding Applicant's REMARKS filed 7-19-04, please consider the following:

As to p. 20, third full paragraph; please look at Figure 6 and 1. Figure 6 illustrates a torso section 108 that extends from the right (where numeral 108 has a lead line pointing to) all the way to the left (to the left of *both* sets of weights 142). That same torso section is 3-dimensional (as evidenced by Figure 1's side view of torso 108, and Figure 6's front view of the same torso 108), and includes a waist (landmark) and inherently cross-sections. One of the cross sections of the torso passes along the

Application/Control Number: 10/035,990 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

vertically oriented longitudinal axis of torso 108 in Figure 6, facing in the direction to the left. Such a cross section cooperates with the torso section 108 at the waist (landmark). That same cross section is generally at a right angle to the torso 108 as view from the front in Figure 6. Botht he torso section 108 and the cross section describe a body seat interface at the waiste region. The waiste region is located on the body seat interface. (SEE ATTACHMENT "A")

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

ROLUS RAEVII